

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/067,546	TANEJA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	

All Participants:

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(2) Jerald L. Meyer {Reg. No. 41,194}

Status of Application: 71

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 September 2005

Time: 3:05pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7-19 and 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicants' representative to discuss the rejoined process of making claims (claims 7-19). The Examiner stated that the process of making does not make the compound in instant claim 21 because the process is directed to making compounds of general formula (I) but the compound of claim 21 is not embraced by the instant general formula (I) because of the R variable definition. On September 7, 2005 @9:15am, Mr. Meyer called the Examiner to give permission to cancel claims 7-19 per Applicants' instruction.

SS
9/7/05